

No. 9(1)-81-8Lab/303.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Som Nath Metal Industries and M/s Chander Kiran Industries, Jagadhri.

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 126/79

between

SHRI OM VEER, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SOM NATH METAL
INDUSTRIES AND M/S CHANDER KIRAN INDUSTRIES, JAGADHRI

Present.—

Shri Surinder Kumar, for the workman.

No one, for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 126 of 79 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor,—vide his order No. Ymn/82-79/27063, dated 26th June, 1979 under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Om Vir, workman and the management of M/s Som Nath Metal Industries and M/s. Chander Kiran Industries, Jagadhri. The term of the reference was :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Om Veer was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

On the receipt of the order of reference notices as usual were sent to the parties who appeared in response to the same on 28th August, 1979 and the case was adjourned to 25th September, 1979 as the Government declared a holiday on the death of Lord Mountbatten. The workman filed the claim statement on 25th September, 1979. Respondent No. 2 filed their written statement on 24th October, 1979. Exparte proceedings were taken up against respondent No. 2 when they did not appear on 28th November, 1979 and respondent No. 1 was issued a fresh notice for 19th December, 1979. Again a fresh notice was issued to respondent No. 1 for 22nd January, 1980 and for hearing on the application for setting aside of the exparte order against respondent No. 2. The exparte order against respondent No. 2 was set aside on a cost of Rs. 30 and the respondent No. 1 was again issued a fresh notice. On 26th July, 1980 respondent No. 2 was again proceeded against exparte and on 17th December, 1980 the workman representative stated that the workman settled his dispute with the management and received the payment of his dues and as such was not interested in pursuing the case any further and prayed that award may be given accordingly.

In view of the statement of the authorised representative of the workman there remains no dispute requiring adjudication as the same has been settled. Reference is answered and returned in the above terms.

Dated 20th December, 1980.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 112, dated the 1st January, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.